

(In terms of Section 55 (4)(b) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act No. 15 of 2015) as amended)

ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS AND CONVERSION OF A HOUSE INTO AN ANIMAL CLINIC RUNDU CAMPUS

PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: W/RFQ/UNAM-09/2026

1. Scope of Contract

The University intends to undertake additions, alterations, and the conversion of an existing house into an Animal Clinic at Rundu Campus. The project aims to provide a functional veterinary facility that will support academic, research, and community engagement activities while ensuring a safe and suitable environment for animal care and clinical training.

2. Procurement method used

Request for Sealed Quotations in terms of section 32 (1)(a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act No. 15 of 2015) as amended.

3. Date of Invitation of Bids

21 April 2026

4. Closing date for submission of bids

06 May 2026 at 15h00.

5. Date and place of opening of bids

06 May 2026 at 15h00.

University of Namibia (UNAM) Main Campus, Administration Building, 340 Mandume
Ndemufayo Avenue, Pioneers Park, Windhoek

6. Number of bids received by closing date

23

7. Approved Budget: N\$ 1,200,000.00

8. Responsiveness of bids:

	Bidder's name	Total Pricing at bid opening N\$ (excl.VAT)	Responsive (yes/ no)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
1	African Rebirth Investment cc	761,199.87	No	Amended prices are not initialised
2	Mind Focus Investment cc	1,026,094.40	No	60 days validity days instead of the required 180 days
3	Shane Investments cc	1,087,439.80	Yes	-
4	Stage Six Investments cc	1,162,794.74	Yes	-
5	Techmarine Investment cc	1,127,934.39	Yes	-
6	Karoo Construction cc	1,321,662.85	No	Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
7	Kenie's Trading cc	1,331,533.25	No	Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
8	Togetherness Investments cc	1,372,047.30	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
9	Bailambino Investment cc	1,391,521.05	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
10	Ninety Four King Investment cc	1,400,457.56	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
11	Tulinavo Investment Group cc	1,500,825.500	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
12	Pamohr Construction cc	1,545,299.96	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
13	Wispa Investment cc	1,596,766.55	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
14	Osenale Trading cc	1,697,744.24	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
15	Albama Investment Group cc	1,730,944.79	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
16	Pantherline Technical Services cc	1,803,707.90	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
17	Nico's General Investments cc	1,806,731.83	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
18	Tilah Investments cc	2,032,335.76	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
19	Tulu Trading Enterprises cc	2,070,922.22	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
20	Mafiking Investment cc	2,191,396.49	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
21	DezzyTrading cc	2,259,334.38	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement

22	Prudentia Investments cc	3,122,579.29	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement
23	Nhomidi Investments cc	7,696,853.42	No	- Not the most responsive in term of price, exceeds the available budget for this procurement

9. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

RANK	BIDDER	Total bid price (excl.VAT) (N\$)	Total bid price (incl.VAT) (N\$)
1	Shane Investments cc	1,087,439.80	1,250,555.77
2	Stage Six Investments cc	1,162,794.74	1,337,213.95
3	Techmarine Investment cc	1,127,934.39	1,297,124.55

10. Best Evaluated Bids:

NAME OF BIDDER	AWARDED AMOUNT (EXCL.VAT)	AWARDED AMOUNT (INCL.VAT)
Shane Investments cc	1,087,439.80	1,250,555.77

***Techmarine Investment cc** (second lowest evaluated substantially responsive bidder) to an amount of N\$1,127,934.39 (excl.VAT) and N\$1,297,124.55 (incl.VAT) will be considered if the first recommended bidder fails to deliver.